



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/320,649 05/27/99 MORI N P725-9009 **EXAMINER** PM82/1130 NIKAIDO MARMELSTEIN MURRAY & ORAM LLP FOOTLAND, L METROPLITAN SQUARE **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER SUITE 330-G STREET LOBBY WASHINGTON DC 20005-5701 3682 DATE MAILED: 11/30/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

4	
•	
•	

Office	Action	Summary	/
--------	--------	---------	---

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Lenard A. Footland

Group Art Unit 3682



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 26, 2000	
X This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-4, 6-9, and 11-22	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	ng Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	of the priority documents have been
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu	mber)
received in this national stage application from the	: International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	le/e)
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	IO(S)
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	48
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FULLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 3682

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first and second paragraphs, as the claimed invention is not described in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the same, and/or for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There do not appear to be disclosed the claimed separated bearing surfaces, for example at Fig. 2. It is the non-bearing surfaces that appear to be separated.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 6-9, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), as being anticipated by Mori et al. The examiner finds all claimed subject matter to be present.

See Fig. 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/320,649 Page 3

Art Unit: 3682

There is reason to believe, based on the similarity of lubricating materials, that the functional limitations thereof may be inherent characteristics of the reference materials. In accordance with *In re Best*, 562 F.2d 1252, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977):

[W]here the Patent Office has reason to believe that a functional limitation asserted to be critical for establishing novelty in the claimed subject matter may, in fact, be an inherent characteristic of the prior art, it possesses the authority to require the applicant to prove that the subject matter shown to be in the prior art does not possess the characteristic relied on.

This "burden of rebutting [may be of] the PTO's reasonable assertion of inherency under 35 USC 102, or of prima facie obviousness under 35 USC 103" (195 USPO at 432).

Accordingly, the burden is placed upon the applicant to prove that the functional limitations in question are not inherent characteristics of the reference materials.

It is noted that applicant did not disclose his prior patent.

Claims 11-22 are allowed.

Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

In response to Applicants' argument that the reference does not include certain features of Applicants' invention, the limitations on which the Applicant relies are not

Application/Control Number: 09/320,649 Page 4

Art Unit: 3682

stated in the claims. Therefore, it is irrelevant whether the reference includes those features or not.

In response to Applicants' arguments, 37 CFR § 1.111(c) requires applicant to "clearly point out the patentable novelty which he thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. He must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections." In this case, applicant has failed to clearly point out patentable novelty and failed to show how the amendment avoids the combination of references applied against the claim.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Application/Control Number: 09/320,649 Page 5

Art Unit: 3682

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lenard A. Footland, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2683.

LENARD A. FOOTLAND PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Tinand A Fortamo

ART UNIT 3682

laf November 29, 2000